

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ON NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAI		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/822,294	04/12/2004	Allen G. Farmer	STS130	2599	
34356 75	90 10/25/2006		EXAMINER		
ASHKAN NA		SHAPIRO, JEFFERY A			
SUITE 2301	OINT PARKWAY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
JACKSONVILI	LE, FL 32216	•	3653		
			DATE MAILED: 10/25/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/822,294		FARMER, ALLEN G.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
			Jeffrey A. Shapiro		3653			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appe	ears on the cover sl	neet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum street to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA [*] s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS COM (a). In no event, however I apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	MUNICATION , may a reply be time (6) MONTHS from the come ABANDONED	ely filed he mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 12 Apı	ril 2004.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·		action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election requireme	ent.				
Applicati	on Papers					•		
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Exa	miner. Note the at	tached Office	Action or form PT	ΓO-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	for foreign p	oriority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priorit	ty documents have	been receive	d in this National	Stage		
	application from the Internation		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list o	f the certified copic	es not received	d.			
Attachmen	• •							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.								
	e of Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		tice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/12/04</u> : 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/822,294

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim, line 3 and 4, it is unclear where the aperture is formed in relation to the other openings in the tube, therefore causing ambiguity as to which opening is being referred to.

- 3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 4 recites the limitation "housing" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,294

Art Unit: 3653

6. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lopez (FR 2611952) in view of Threadgill, Jr., (US 6,439,424 B1) and further in view of Helbawi (US 4,953,682).

As described in **Claims 1, 2, 5 and 8**, Lopez discloses a tube (1, 2) with curved lower portion (6) and coin mechanism (4) that allows dispensing of a ball upon payment of the correct change.

As described in **Claims 3, 5 and 8**, Lopez does not expressly disclose, but Helbawi discloses a tube (26) with sensors (I0.7, I0.1, I2.1 and I0.2, for example) that detect the ball at various points within the tube, for the purpose of determining the presence of a returnable bottle.

Further regarding **Claims 1, 5 and 8**, Helbawi further discloses an automated coin dispensing system (136) connected to said tube near a top opening of the tube for dispensing coins upon deposit of an item, such as a bottle, in the tube. Note that although Helbawi discloses deposit of bottles, it would have been obvious for balls to be handled in the same tubes.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have caused Lopez's coin mechanism to dispense coins to a customer based on detection of a ball being placed in the tube.

The suggestion/motivation would have been to promote return of the balls that are dispensed. See Helbawi, col. 1, lines 12-19 and 29-30, which describes dispensing an amount of change in return for depositing an aluminum can.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,294

Art Unit: 3653

Although Lopez does not expressly disclose plural flexible mounting brackets described in **Claims 1, 5, 6 and 8**, it is apparent that said tube is mounted to the housing, shown in figure 1, in a functionally equivalent manner. Nonetheless, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used flexible brackets (70 and 90) as taught by Threadgill to secure Lopez's tubes.

Further regarding **Claims 3, 6 and 8**, note that in light of Helbawi's teaching at col. 5, line 64-col. 6, line 5, of using various solenoids controlled by computer-based controller to operate the entire item return system, it would have been obvious to use solenoids powered by a power supply to control Lopez's coin acceptor/dispenser, as well as other portions of Lopez's system.

Regarding Claims 4, 7 and 9, note that it would have been obvious to place an access door at the top of the housing of the coin acceptor/dispenser because it is necessary to obtain access to the internal coin holding area to remove or replenish coins as well as to effectuate repairs and maintenance.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Grim '244, Hieb '776, Sorensen '901 and '757, Rodriquez '251, Young '330, Gentiluomo '067, Adolf '578, Kilgore '316, Crum '433, Hamer '196 and Deininger are cited as examples of dispensers.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey A. Shapiro whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/822,294 Page 5

Art Unit: 3653

(571)272-6943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick H. Mackey can be reached on (571)272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JAS

September 29, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600